UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/590,450 | 11/27/2006 | Kristofer Olofsson | 1090-127 | 8515 |
| 23117 NIXON & VAN | 7590 10/02/200 NDERHYE. PC | EXAMINER | | |
| 901 NORTH G | LEBE ROAD, 11TH F | RICCI, CRAIG D | | |
| ARLINGTON, | VA 22203 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1614 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/02/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| N. 42 CAL 1 | 10/590,450 OLOFSSON ET AL. | | AL. | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | CRAIG RICCI | 1614 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | ldress | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does it | lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which pla | aces the | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6 | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Tr | ansmission dated | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T | | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on | | | | | |
| | after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity ui | nder 37 CFR | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for see | eking court review | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| Confirmed with Leonard Mitchard (703) 816-4000 or | n Sept. 29, 2009. | | | | |
| /Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614 | /CRAIG RICCI/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614 | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be | promptly filed to | | |